1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Eric J. Benink, Esq., SBN 187434 Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 232-0331 (ph) (619) 232-4019 (fax) eric@kkbs-law.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	PERFECT 10, INC., a California	CASE NO. CV 12 1521 WHA	
12	corporation,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY DEADLINES	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	v.	CTRM: 8	
15	YANDEX N.V., a Netherlands limited liability company, and DOES 1 through	CTRM: 8 JUDGE: William Alsup	
16	100, inclusive, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. ("Perfect 10") and Defendant Yandex N.V. ("Yandex")		
23	by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate pursuant to Local Rules 6.1 and 6.2 as		
24	follows:		
25	Whereas, the parties entered into an initial stipulation regarding a partial case		
26	schedule on April 13, 2012; and		
27	Whereas that stipulation advised the Court that the parties were working in good		
28	faith to set a more complete schedule for the case, the results of which negotiations are		

STIPULATION TO MODIFY DEADLINES

11 12

10

13 14

15

16

1718

19 20

2122

2324

2526

27

28

reflected in this stipulation; and

Whereas, Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan was engaged as counsel to Yandex on April 11, 2012 and was provided with a copy of the filings in this action on April 16, 2012; and

Whereas, Yandex has agreed not to challenge the sufficiency of the service of process of the summons and complaint or of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction; and

Whereas, assuming the summons and complaint were properly served, Yandex's response to the complaint is presently due on Monday April 23, 2012; and

Whereas, Yandex is a foreign corporation whose officers reside abroad, are unfamiliar with United States law and for whom English is at most a second language and seek additional time to understand and assess the case as presented; and

Whereas, Perfect 10 has agreed that counsel for Yandex should have further additional time to respond to the complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction; and

Whereas the parties have reached an agreement that does not alter any deadlines imposed by the Court;

THEREFORE IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED:

- 1. that Yandex's responsive pleading to the complaint shall be filed and served on or before May 14, 2012; and
- 2. that Yandex's opposition to the pending Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall be filed and served on or before May 14, 2012; and
- 2. that Perfect 10's reply (if any) in support of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall be filed and served on or before June 4, 2012; and
- 3. the hearing on the Montion for Preliminary Injunction shall be continued from May 24, 2012 to June 14, 2012 or as otherwise set by the Court;
- 4. that Yandex shall not challenge the sufficiency of the service of either the summons and complaint or of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction; and
 - 6. that neither this Stipulation nor Yandex's waiver of a challenge to the

1			
1	service of the summons and Motion shall be deemed a general appearance by Yandex.		
2	Yandex expressly preserves its defenses and objections to this action, including those		
3	based on jurisdiction, venue, and forum non conveniens.		
4			
5			
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8.	DATED: April 18, 2012	KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK	
9		& SLAVENS, LLP.	
10		2//4/	
11		Eric J. Benink Attorneys for Perfect 10, Inc.	
12		•	
13	DATED: April 18, 2012	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
14		SULLIVAN, LLP	
15		May Miller FOR	
16		Diane Doolittle	
17		Attorneys for Yandex, N.V.	
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19		Mª Ahr	
20	DATED: April 19, 2012.	William Alsup	
21		United States District Judge	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		- 2 -	